

## catena-Poly[[silver(I)- $\mu$ -4-aminopyridine] perchlorate]: a 1-D staircase coordination polymer

Ryan K. Golder, Christopher M. Fitchett, Jan L. Wikaira and Peter J. Steel\*

Chemistry Department, University of Canterbury, PO Box 4800, Christchurch, New Zealand

Correspondence e-mail: peter.steel@canterbury.ac.nz


Received 2 September 2010; accepted 20 September 2010

Key indicators: single-crystal X-ray study;  $T = 113\text{ K}$ ; mean  $\sigma(\text{C}-\text{C}) = 0.003\text{ \AA}$ ;  $R$  factor = 0.021;  $wR$  factor = 0.051; data-to-parameter ratio = 13.7.

Reaction of 4-aminopyridine with silver(I) perchlorate leads to a one-dimensional coordination polymer,  $[(\text{Ag}(\text{C}_5\text{H}_6\text{N}_2)\text{-ClO}_4)_n]$ , in which the aminopyridine binds through both N atoms. The perchlorate anion is hydrogen bonded to the amino H atoms and interacts weakly with the silver(I) atoms ( $\text{Ag}-\text{O} > 2.70\text{ \AA}$ ), both located on inversion centres, and some aromatic H atoms ( $\text{O}-\text{H} > 2.55\text{ \AA}$ ), thereby extending the dimensionality of the assembly. This is the first silver complex in which this ligand acts in a bridging mode.

### Related literature

For discrete silver complexes of the same ligand, see: Kristiansson (2000); Abu-Youssef *et al.* (2006); Liu *et al.* (2005); Zhu *et al.* (2003a,b); Li *et al.* (2005); Ma *et al.* (2004). For metallosupramolecular assemblies derived from bridging heterocyclic ligands, see: Steel (2005). For the use of silver(I) for the self-assembly of both discrete and polymeric aggregates with diverse molecular architectures, see: Fitchett & Steel (2006); O'Keefe & Steel (2007). For a review of the use of pyrazine and analogues as bridging ligands for silver(I)-based assemblies, see: Steel & Fitchett (2008).



### Experimental

#### Crystal data

$[\text{Ag}(\text{C}_5\text{H}_6\text{N}_2)\text{-ClO}_4]$   
 $M_r = 301.44$

Triclinic,  $P\bar{1}$   
 $a = 5.0720(2)\text{ \AA}$

$b = 9.0025(3)\text{ \AA}$	$Z = 2$
$c = 9.5520(3)\text{ \AA}$	Mo $K\alpha$ radiation
$\alpha = 93.198(2)^\circ$	$\mu = 2.67\text{ mm}^{-1}$
$\beta = 96.992(2)^\circ$	$T = 113\text{ K}$
$\gamma = 100.452(2)^\circ$	$0.35 \times 0.11 \times 0.05\text{ mm}$
$V = 424.37(3)\text{ \AA}^3$	

#### Data collection

Bruker APEXII CCD diffractometer	9107 measured reflections
Absorption correction: multi-scan ( <i>SADABS</i> ; Bruker, 2009)	1740 independent reflections
$T_{\min} = 0.455$ , $T_{\max} = 0.878$	1591 reflections with $I > 2\sigma(I)$
	$R_{\text{int}} = 0.046$

#### Refinement

$R[F^2 > 2\sigma(F^2)] = 0.021$	H atoms treated by a mixture of independent and constrained refinement
$wR(F^2) = 0.051$	$\Delta\rho_{\text{max}} = 0.57\text{ e \AA}^{-3}$
$S = 1.03$	$\Delta\rho_{\text{min}} = -0.78\text{ e \AA}^{-3}$
1740 reflections	
127 parameters	

**Table 1**  
Hydrogen-bond geometry ( $\text{\AA}$ ,  $^\circ$ ).

$D-\text{H}\cdots A$	$D-\text{H}$	$\text{H}\cdots A$	$D\cdots A$	$D-\text{H}\cdots A$
N2—H2C $\cdots$ O1 <sup>i</sup>	0.86 (3)	2.16 (3)	2.984 (3)	161 (2)
N2—H2B $\cdots$ O3 <sup>ii</sup>	0.85 (3)	2.29 (3)	2.984 (3)	139 (2)

Symmetry codes: (i)  $-x + 1, -y - 1, -z$ ; (ii)  $x + 1, y, z$ .

Data collection: *APEX2* (Bruker, 2009); cell refinement: *SAINT* (Bruker, 2009); data reduction: *SAINT*; program(s) used to solve structure: *SHELXS97* (Sheldrick, 2008); program(s) used to refine structure: *SHELXL97* (Sheldrick, 2008); molecular graphics: *SHELXTL* (Sheldrick, 2008); software used to prepare material for publication: *SHELXTL*.

We thank the Chemistry Department, University of Canterbury, New Zealand, for funding.

Supplementary data and figures for this paper are available from the IUCr electronic archives (Reference: BV2161).

### References

- Abu-Youssef, M. A. M., Langer, V. & Ohrstrom, L. (2006). *Chem. Commun.* pp. 1082–1084.
- Bruker (2009). *APEX2, SAINT* and *SADABS*. Bruker AXS Inc., Madison, Wisconsin, USA.
- Fitchett, C. M. & Steel, P. J. (2006). *Dalton Trans.* pp. 4886–4888.
- Kristiansson, O. (2000). *Acta Cryst. C56*, 165–167.
- Li, Y.-G., Zhu, H.-L., Song, Y. & Ng, S. W. (2005). *Acta Cryst. E61*, m2564–m2565.
- Liu, X.-Y., Zhu, H.-L. & Fun, H.-K. (2005). *Synth. React. Inorg. Met.-Org. Nano-Met. Chem.* **35**, 149–154.
- Ma, J.-L., Zou, Y., Meng, F. J., Lin, Y.-S., Wang, Z.-G. & Zhu, H.-L. (2004). *Z. Kristallogr.* **219**, 159–160.
- O'Keefe, B. J. & Steel, P. J. (2007). *CrystEngComm*, **9**, 222–227.
- Sheldrick, G. M. (2008). *Acta Cryst. A64*, 112–122.
- Steel, P. J. (2005). *Acc. Chem. Res.* **38**, 243–250.
- Steel, P. J. & Fitchett, C. M. (2008). *Coord. Chem. Rev.* **205**, 990–1006.
- Zhu, H.-L., Zeng, Q.-F., Xia, D.-S., Liu, X.-Y. & Wang, D.-Q. (2003a). *Acta Cryst. E59*, m726–m728.
- Zhu, H.-L., Zhang, M., Sun, Z.-Y. & Rong, N.-N. (2003b). *Z. Kristallogr.* **218**, 521–522.

## **supplementary materials**

*Acta Cryst.* (2010). E66, m1324 [doi:10.1107/S1600536810037682]

## **catena-Poly[[silver(I)- $\mu$ -4-aminopyridine] perchlorate]: a 1-D staircase coordination polymer**

**R. K. Golder, C. M. Fitchett, J. L. Wikaira and P. J. Steel**

### **Comment**

For some time we have been involved in the study of metallosupramolecular assemblies derived from bridging heterocyclic ligands (Steel, 2005). In recent years we have focused on the use of silver(I) for the self-assembly of both discrete and polymeric aggregates with diverse molecular architectures (Fitchett & Steel, 2006; O'Keefe & Steel, 2007). In this context, we have recently reviewed the use of pyrazine and analogues as bridging ligands for silver(I)-based assemblies (Steel & Fitchett, 2008). 4-Aminopyridine (1) is a less symmetrical ligand that can potentially act as a bridge between metal centres. X-ray structures have been reported for complexes of (1) with silver nitrate (Kristiansson, 2000; Abu-Youssef *et al.*, 2006), silver bicarbonate (Liu *et al.*, 2005), silver trifluoroacetate (Zhu *et al.*, 2003a), silver trifluoromethanesulfonate (Zhu *et al.*, 2003b; Liu *et al.*, 2005), silver terephthalate (Li *et al.*, 2005) and silver 3-nitrobenzoate (Ma *et al.*, 2004). However, in all these cases the ligand acts as a monodentate ligand binding through the pyridine nitrogen only and therefore forms discrete coordination complexes. We now describe a one-dimensional coordination polymer, obtained from reaction between this ligand and silver perchlorate, in which ligand (1) acts in a bridging bidentate mode.

The complex (2) crystallizes in the triclinic space group P-1 with a full 4-aminopyridine ligand, two half silver atoms and a perchlorate anion in the asymmetric unit (Fig. 1). The two independent silver atoms each lie on crystallographic centres of inversion and therefore act as linear connectors resulting in a 1-D coordination polymer. Ligand (1) coordinates to Ag1 through two pyridine N atoms and to Ag2 *via* two amino N atoms. The resulting coordination polymer has a staircase-type structure that results from the fact that the amino nitrogen introduces an angular turn (C4—N2—Ag2 111.9 (1) $^{\circ}$ ) into the polymer chain.

Both of the amino group H atoms are hydrogen bonded (Table 1) to adjacent perchlorate counterions, which in turn serve to bridge adjacent chains through two such hydrogen bonds (Fig. 2). The perchlorate O atoms are also involved in weak interactions with the silver atoms, which in the case of Ag2 leads to a pseudo-octahedral coordination environment for this atom. The perchlorate O atoms make weak contacts with some CH H atoms. These additional interactions increase the dimensionality of the overall assembly. This structure represents the first example in which ligand (1) acts as a bridging ligand for silver(I).

### **Experimental**

The title compound was prepared by slow evaporation of an acetone solution containing an equimolar ratio of 4-aminopyridine and silver perchlorate.

### **Refinement**

CH hydrogen atoms were introduced in calculated positions as riding atoms, with  $U_{\text{iso}}(\text{H}) = 1.2U_{\text{eq}}(\text{C})$ . The NH H atoms were located from a difference Fourier map and their positions refined with  $U_{\text{iso}}(\text{H}) = 1.2U_{\text{eq}}(\text{N})$ .

# supplementary materials

---

## Figures




Fig. 1. The molecular structure of (2), showing displacement ellipsoids at the 50% probability level.




Fig. 2. Extended structure of (2), showing the staircase structure and the hydrogen bonding interactions.

## catena-Poly[[silver(I)- $\mu$ -4-aminopyridine] perchlorate]

### Crystal data

$[\text{Ag}(\text{C}_5\text{H}_6\text{N}_2)]\text{ClO}_4$	$Z = 2$
$M_r = 301.44$	$F(000) = 292$
Triclinic, $P\bar{1}$	$D_x = 2.359 \text{ Mg m}^{-3}$
Hall symbol: -P 1	Mo $K\alpha$ radiation, $\lambda = 0.71073 \text{ \AA}$
$a = 5.0720 (2) \text{ \AA}$	Cell parameters from 6232 reflections
$b = 9.0025 (3) \text{ \AA}$	$\theta = 3.0\text{--}26.4^\circ$
$c = 9.5520 (3) \text{ \AA}$	$\mu = 2.67 \text{ mm}^{-1}$
$\alpha = 93.198 (2)^\circ$	$T = 113 \text{ K}$
$\beta = 96.992 (2)^\circ$	Prism, orange
$\gamma = 100.452 (2)^\circ$	$0.35 \times 0.11 \times 0.05 \text{ mm}$
$V = 424.37 (3) \text{ \AA}^3$	

### Data collection

Bruker APEXII CCD diffractometer	1740 independent reflections
Radiation source: fine-focus sealed tube graphite	1591 reflections with $I > 2\sigma(I)$
$\varphi$ and $\omega$ scans	$R_{\text{int}} = 0.046$
Absorption correction: multi-scan ( <i>SADABS</i> ; Bruker, 2009)	$\theta_{\text{max}} = 26.4^\circ, \theta_{\text{min}} = 2.3^\circ$
$T_{\text{min}} = 0.455, T_{\text{max}} = 0.878$	$h = -6 \rightarrow 6$
9107 measured reflections	$k = -11 \rightarrow 11$
	$l = -11 \rightarrow 11$

### Refinement

Refinement on $F^2$	Primary atom site location: structure-invariant direct methods
Least-squares matrix: full	Secondary atom site location: difference Fourier map
$R[F^2 > 2\sigma(F^2)] = 0.021$	Hydrogen site location: inferred from neighbouring sites
$wR(F^2) = 0.051$	H atoms treated by a mixture of independent and constrained refinement

$S = 1.03$	$w = 1/[\sigma^2(F_o^2) + (0.0333P)^2 + 0.1489P]$
	where $P = (F_o^2 + 2F_c^2)/3$
1740 reflections	$(\Delta/\sigma)_{\max} = 0.017$
127 parameters	$\Delta\rho_{\max} = 0.57 \text{ e } \text{\AA}^{-3}$
0 restraints	$\Delta\rho_{\min} = -0.78 \text{ e } \text{\AA}^{-3}$

### Special details

**Geometry.** All e.s.d.'s (except the e.s.d. in the dihedral angle between two l.s. planes) are estimated using the full covariance matrix. The cell e.s.d.'s are taken into account individually in the estimation of e.s.d.'s in distances, angles and torsion angles; correlations between e.s.d.'s in cell parameters are only used when they are defined by crystal symmetry. An approximate (isotropic) treatment of cell e.s.d.'s is used for estimating e.s.d.'s involving l.s. planes.

**Refinement.** Refinement of  $F^2$  against ALL reflections. The weighted  $R$ -factor  $wR$  and goodness of fit  $S$  are based on  $F^2$ , conventional  $R$ -factors  $R$  are based on  $F$ , with  $F$  set to zero for negative  $F^2$ . The threshold expression of  $F^2 > \sigma(F^2)$  is used only for calculating  $R$ -factors(gt) etc. and is not relevant to the choice of reflections for refinement.  $R$ -factors based on  $F^2$  are statistically about twice as large as those based on  $F$ , and  $R$ -factors based on ALL data will be even larger.

### Fractional atomic coordinates and isotropic or equivalent isotropic displacement parameters ( $\text{\AA}^2$ )

	$x$	$y$	$z$	$U_{\text{iso}}^*/U_{\text{eq}}$
Ag1	0.0000	0.0000	0.5000	0.02748 (9)
Ag2	0.5000	-0.5000	0.0000	0.02716 (9)
Cl1	-0.04375 (9)	-0.70217 (6)	0.19452 (5)	0.02471 (12)
O1	-0.0156 (4)	-0.81713 (18)	0.08849 (17)	0.0372 (4)
O2	0.0718 (4)	-0.7384 (2)	0.32954 (17)	0.0405 (4)
O3	0.0969 (3)	-0.55690 (18)	0.16137 (19)	0.0338 (4)
O4	-0.3233 (3)	-0.6953 (2)	0.1977 (2)	0.0530 (6)
N1	0.2684 (3)	-0.10407 (19)	0.38878 (18)	0.0212 (3)
C2	0.3565 (4)	-0.2298 (2)	0.4306 (2)	0.0253 (4)
H2A	0.3003	-0.2710	0.5139	0.030*
C3	0.5233 (4)	-0.3006 (2)	0.3584 (2)	0.0245 (4)
H3A	0.5804	-0.3886	0.3917	0.029*
C4	0.6080 (4)	-0.2422 (2)	0.2358 (2)	0.0187 (4)
C5	0.5244 (4)	-0.1101 (2)	0.1946 (2)	0.0224 (4)
H5A	0.5824	-0.0647	0.1134	0.027*
C6	0.3576 (4)	-0.0467 (2)	0.2725 (2)	0.0246 (4)
H6A	0.3017	0.0430	0.2427	0.030*
N2	0.7619 (3)	-0.3164 (2)	0.15325 (19)	0.0218 (3)
H2B	0.874 (5)	-0.362 (3)	0.199 (3)	0.026*
H2C	0.845 (5)	-0.259 (3)	0.097 (3)	0.026*

### Atomic displacement parameters ( $\text{\AA}^2$ )

	$U^{11}$	$U^{22}$	$U^{33}$	$U^{12}$	$U^{13}$	$U^{23}$
Ag1	0.01995 (12)	0.03359 (15)	0.02912 (14)	0.00871 (9)	0.00516 (9)	-0.01157 (10)
Ag2	0.02874 (13)	0.02542 (14)	0.02684 (14)	0.00551 (9)	0.00580 (9)	-0.00782 (9)

## supplementary materials

---

Cl1	0.0227 (2)	0.0299 (3)	0.0266 (3)	0.01105 (19)	0.00968 (18)	0.0130 (2)
O1	0.0491 (10)	0.0312 (9)	0.0296 (9)	0.0013 (7)	0.0083 (7)	0.0022 (7)
O2	0.0520 (10)	0.0550 (11)	0.0238 (8)	0.0281 (9)	0.0100 (7)	0.0138 (8)
O3	0.0347 (8)	0.0259 (8)	0.0473 (10)	0.0105 (6)	0.0211 (7)	0.0102 (7)
O4	0.0238 (9)	0.0665 (13)	0.0815 (15)	0.0196 (8)	0.0229 (9)	0.0445 (12)
N1	0.0193 (8)	0.0232 (8)	0.0211 (8)	0.0061 (6)	0.0032 (6)	-0.0055 (7)
C2	0.0309 (11)	0.0270 (11)	0.0197 (10)	0.0059 (8)	0.0089 (8)	0.0023 (8)
C3	0.0322 (11)	0.0224 (10)	0.0225 (10)	0.0112 (8)	0.0075 (8)	0.0045 (8)
C4	0.0167 (9)	0.0202 (9)	0.0183 (9)	0.0031 (7)	0.0015 (7)	-0.0025 (7)
C5	0.0276 (10)	0.0207 (10)	0.0204 (10)	0.0058 (8)	0.0063 (8)	0.0037 (8)
C6	0.0270 (10)	0.0209 (10)	0.0269 (11)	0.0088 (8)	0.0020 (8)	0.0000 (8)
N2	0.0212 (8)	0.0233 (9)	0.0227 (9)	0.0069 (7)	0.0072 (7)	0.0004 (7)

### Geometric parameters ( $\text{\AA}$ , $^\circ$ )

Ag1—N1	2.1363 (16)	C2—H2A	0.9500
Ag1—N1 <sup>i</sup>	2.1363 (16)	C3—C4	1.393 (3)
Ag2—N2 <sup>ii</sup>	2.2582 (18)	C3—H3A	0.9500
Ag2—N2	2.2583 (18)	C4—C5	1.394 (3)
Cl1—O2	1.4301 (16)	C4—N2	1.399 (3)
Cl1—O4	1.4344 (16)	C5—C6	1.371 (3)
Cl1—O3	1.4430 (16)	C5—H5A	0.9500
Cl1—O1	1.4454 (17)	C6—H6A	0.9500
N1—C6	1.344 (3)	N2—H2B	0.85 (3)
N1—C2	1.353 (3)	N2—H2C	0.86 (3)
C2—C3	1.373 (3)		
N1—Ag1—N1 <sup>i</sup>	180.00 (5)	C4—C3—H3A	120.3
N2 <sup>ii</sup> —Ag2—N2	180.0	C5—C4—C3	117.63 (18)
O2—Cl1—O4	109.54 (11)	C5—C4—N2	120.84 (18)
O2—Cl1—O3	109.91 (12)	C3—C4—N2	121.47 (18)
O4—Cl1—O3	108.88 (10)	C6—C5—C4	119.20 (19)
O2—Cl1—O1	108.65 (11)	C6—C5—H5A	120.4
O4—Cl1—O1	110.77 (13)	C4—C5—H5A	120.4
O3—Cl1—O1	109.08 (10)	N1—C6—C5	123.77 (19)
C6—N1—C2	116.76 (17)	N1—C6—H6A	118.1
C6—N1—Ag1	120.93 (13)	C5—C6—H6A	118.1
C2—N1—Ag1	122.31 (13)	C4—N2—Ag2	111.91 (12)
N1—C2—C3	123.15 (19)	C4—N2—H2B	115.5 (17)
N1—C2—H2A	118.4	Ag2—N2—H2B	104.1 (17)
C3—C2—H2A	118.4	C4—N2—H2C	113.7 (17)
C2—C3—C4	119.45 (19)	Ag2—N2—H2C	101.4 (17)
C2—C3—H3A	120.3	H2B—N2—H2C	109 (2)


Symmetry codes: (i)  $-x, -y, -z+1$ ; (ii)  $-x+1, -y-1, -z$ .

### Hydrogen-bond geometry ( $\text{\AA}$ , $^\circ$ )

D—H···A	D—H	H···A	D···A	D—H···A
N2—H2C···O1 <sup>ii</sup>	0.86 (3)	2.16 (3)	2.984 (3)	161 (2)

N2—H2B···O3<sup>iii</sup> 0.85 (3) 2.29 (3) 2.984 (3) 139 (2)  
Symmetry codes: (ii)  $-x+1, -y-1, -z$ ; (iii)  $x+1, y, z$ .

**Fig. 1**



## **supplementary materials**

---

**Fig. 2**

